

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF IOWA
P. O. Box 9344
Des Moines, IA 50306-9344
(515) 284-6248

FILED
CLERK'S OFFICE
2006 MAR -7 P 2:49
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MASS.

March 2, 2006

Sarah Allison Thornton
Clerk of Court
United States District Court
District of Massachusetts
John Joseph Moakley U.S. Courthouse
One Courthouse Way, Suite 2300
Boston, MA 02210


RE: MDL-1733 – In re: Teflon Products Liability Litigation
Judy Levin v. E.I. DuPont De Nemours & Co., C.A. No. 1:05-11618 - w6-y

Dear Ms. Thornton:

Enclosed is a certified copy of the Transfer Order entered in MDL-1733 on February 21, 2006 by the Honorable William Terrell Hodges, Chairman for the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, transferring the above styled case to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Iowa.

The Honorable Ronald E. Longstaff is the presiding Judge for MDL-1733 and has requested that only the following original documents be forwarded to this office. The complaint or other initiating document, any amendments to the initiating document, answers, counterclaims, cross-claims, third party complaint and any amendments thereto, all pending motions with their corresponding response and reply and all substantial orders entered by the Court. Also, please forward a certified copy of the docket entries. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

MARJORIE E. KRAHN,
CLERK OF COURT

By: 
Deputy Clerk
Enclosure

A CERTIFIED TRUE COPY

FEB 21 2006

ATTEST *Marjorie E. Krahn*
FOR THE JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

RELEASED FOR PUBLICATION

JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

FEB 21 2006

FILED
CLERK'S OFFICE

DOCKET NO. 1733

*CV # 4:05-cv-422***BEFORE THE JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION****IN RE TEFLON PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION****BEFORE WM. TERRELL HODGES, CHAIRMAN, JOHN F. KEENAN, D.
LOWELL JENSEN, J. FREDERICK MOTZ,* ROBERT L. MILLER, JR.,
KATHRYN H. VRATIL AND DAVID R. HANSEN, JUDGES OF THE PANEL****TRANSFER ORDER**

This litigation currently consists of fourteen actions pending, respectively, in the Central District of California, Southern District of California, District of Colorado, Southern District of Florida, Northern District of Illinois, Southern District of Iowa, District of Massachusetts, Eastern District of Michigan, Eastern District of Missouri, Southern District of New York, Northern District of Ohio, Eastern District of Pennsylvania, District of South Carolina, and Southern District of Texas as listed on the attached Schedule A.¹ Before the Panel is a motion, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407, brought by plaintiffs in the Southern District of Florida action for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings of these fourteen actions in the Southern District of Florida. No other plaintiffs responded to the motion; however, moving plaintiffs represent that plaintiffs in eleven other actions before the Panel support the motion for transfer.² Lone defendant E.I. du Pont de Nemours and Co. (DuPont) agrees with movants that transfer under Section 1407 is warranted, but suggests that transfer to one of three other districts – the Northern District of Illinois, Southern District of Iowa or Southern District of Texas – would be more appropriate. Moving plaintiffs support centralization in the Northern District of Illinois in the alternative, should the Panel decline to order centralization in the Southern District of Florida, but oppose centralization in either of the other fora suggested by DuPont.

On the basis of the papers filed and hearing session held, the Panel finds that these fourteen actions involve common questions of fact, and that centralization under Section 1407 in the Southern

* Judge Motz took no part in the decision of this matter.

¹ The Panel has been notified of a related action in the District of New Jersey. This action and any other related actions will be treated as potential tag-along actions. See Rules 7.4 and 7.5, R.P.J.P.M.L., 199 F.R.D. 425, 435-36 (2001).

² According to moving plaintiffs, plaintiffs in the Southern District of California and Southern District of Iowa actions did not take a position on the motion.

I DO HEREBY ATTEST AND CERTIFY THAT
THIS IS A TRUE AND FULL COPY OF THE
ORIGINAL.

DATE: *3-2-06*
MARJORIE E. KRAHN
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT

BY: *Lisa Kollasch*
DEPUTY CLERK

- 2 -

District of Iowa will serve the convenience of the parties and witnesses and promote the just and efficient conduct of this litigation. These actions are putative class actions that share allegations relating to Teflon,[®] which is manufactured by DuPont. Specifically, plaintiffs in all actions allege that cooking products coated with Teflon[®] can release potentially harmful substances that may be carcinogenic. Centralization under Section 1407 is necessary in order to eliminate duplicative discovery; prevent inconsistent pretrial rulings, including those with respect to class certification; and conserve the resources of the parties, their counsel and the judiciary.

Given the range of locations of parties and putative class members in this docket and the geographic dispersal of the pending actions, an array of suitable transferee districts presents itself. We conclude that the Southern District of Iowa is an appropriate forum for this docket. This district, where an action is already pending, i) provides a geographically central location, ii) does not have any other multidistrict litigation dockets, and iii) enjoys general docket conditions permitting the Panel to effect the Section 1407 assignment to a court with the present resources to devote to the pretrial matters that this docket is likely to require.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407, the actions listed on Schedule A and pending outside the Southern District of Iowa are transferred to the Southern District of Iowa and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Ronald E. Longstaff for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings with the action pending in that district and listed on Schedule A.

FOR THE PANEL:



Wm. Terrell Hodges
Chairman

SCHEDULE A

MDL-1733 -- In re Teflon Products Liability Litigation

Central District of California

Lisa Howe, et al. E.I. DuPont De Nemours & Co., C.A. No. 2:05-5488

Southern District of California

Jennifer Hardee v. E.I. DuPont De Nemours & Co., C.A. No. 3:05-1522

District of Colorado

Susan R. Yoshioka, et al. v. E.I. DuPont De Nemours & Co., C.A. No. 1:05-1440

Southern District of Florida

Natalie Belmonte, et al. v. E.I. DuPont De Nemours & Co., C.A. No. 1:05-21921

Northern District of Illinois

Sheldon B. Lyke, et al. v. E.I. DuPont De Nemours & Co., C.A. No. 1:05-4168

Southern District of Iowa

Janet R. Luett, et al. v. E.I. DuPont De Nemours & Co., C.A. No. 4:05-422

District of Massachusetts

Judy Levin v. E.I. DuPont De Nemours & Co., C.A. No. 1:05-11618

Eastern District of Michigan

Constance A. Webb v. E.I. DuPont De Nemours & Co., C.A. No. 2:05-72923

Eastern District of Missouri

Heath Hutchison, et al. v. E.I. DuPont De Nemours & Co., C.A. No. 4:05-1234

- A2 -

Southern District of New York

Rosemarie Compitello, et al. v. E.I. DuPont De Nemours & Co., C.A. No. 1:05-6749

Northern District of Ohio

Susan E. Schnur v. E.I. DuPont De Nemours & Co., C.A. No. 1:05-1818

Eastern District of Pennsylvania

Kathleen Margay, et al. v. E.I. DuPont De Nemours & Co., C.A. No. 2:05-4049

District of South Carolina

Melissa Lee Urch, et al. v. E.I. DuPont De Nemours & Co., C.A. No. 7:05-2438

Southern District of Texas

Jerry Syntych, et al. v. E.I. DuPont De Nemours & Co., C.A. No. 4:05-2530